

# Amendments to permitted development rights

## Details

### Q1. Details

Name

[REDACTED]

Organisation

-

Preferred contact details (Email address, phone number or address)

[REDACTED]

### Q2. Type (please select one from the following)

Business

Q3. Responses to consultations may be made public. To keep your response anonymous (including email addresses) tick the box.

Keep my response anonymous

## Questions

Q4. Q1. Should the additional days granted by Class A of Part 4A be retained permanently, permitting temporary uses to take place for up to 56 days (28 days for specified uses) in a calendar year?

No

#### Comments:

The National Parks of Wales should be exempt from this extension because it will seriously hamper their ability to protect these areas of outstanding natural beauty. These areas were set up for the enjoyment of the nation not for the economic benefit of the local landowners. The present arrangement for small regulated sites is in place, and works well.

Q5. Q2. Do you have any evidence as to any benefits and impacts as a result of introducing the additional number of days for temporary uses to take place since April? If yes, please specify.

Yes

#### Comments:

[REDACTED] was overrun this Summer. Unofficial parking blocked the narrow lane and unburied defecation, both human and canine was rife. To extend the period would just make matters worse. Visitors driving on very narrow lanes are inexperienced in backing long distances, causing chaos

Q6. Q3. Do you have views on whether there should be additional restrictions on the use of this PDR to mitigate against potential impacts of making this permanent? If yes, please specify.

Yes

**Comments:**

Pop up camping sites should need to have site inspections to judge the suitability of their services and access. To leave it unregulated is asking for trouble.

Taking reference from " Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Caravan, Camping and Chalet Landscape Capacity Assessment 2015". All sites in the NP should be in appropriate positions, and perhaps not be allowed right next to a licensed site, on the grounds of unfair competition. Licensed sites after all have had to jump through the correct constraints of the NP. The unintended consequences of extending camping to 56 days, is why would anybody bother with getting permission when they can just go ahead and do what they like. Personally we have seen a piece of land having its hedges decimated to make sure the campers have a good sea view, which actually ruins the view in both directions. He should have left the mature trees and hedged in between, this at least leaves some habitats, and sets the sea view off. If the National Parks are not allowed to protect their special landscapes properly, why do they exist?

The National Parks are for the Nation, not just for residents to make a quick buck!

This seems to be a move by the Welsh Government to cripple their National Parks

Q7. Q4. Should the number of days for holding a market generally be extended? If Yes, what is an acceptable number of days for holding a market? What conditions should apply to manage the planning impacts?

*No Response*

Q8. Q5. Should any additional days over the permitted 14 days be provided for markets operated by or on behalf of a local authority?

*No Response*

Q9. Q6. Do you agree the permitted changes of use within town centres should become permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q10. Q7. Do you agree the permitted development right for the use of the highway adjacent to a hospitality use for that purpose should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q11. Q8. If you answered yes to Q7, are any additional conditions required to mitigate potential amenity impacts?

*No Response*

Q12. Q9. Do you agree the permitted development right for the installation of awnings at hospitality uses should be made permanent? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q13. Q10. Do you have any comments regarding Part 3A?

*No Response*

Q14. Q11. Do you have any comments regarding Part 12A?

*No Response*

Q15. Q12. Do you agree that HMOs should not benefit from permitted development rights for alterations and extensions to a dwellinghouse granted by Part 1 of the GPDO? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q16. Q13. Do you agree with the proposed alterations to Class F? If not, please suggest alternative approaches, restrictions or thresholds that could be adopted.

*No Response*

Q17. Q14. Do you agree greater flexibility should be provided through permitted development rights to accelerate the rollout of electric vehicle charging infrastructure? If not, please provide your reasons for disagreeing.

*No Response*

Q18. Q15. Do you agree with reintroducing permitted development rights for the protection of poultry and other captive birds?

*No Response*

Q19. Q16. Do you agree with the proposals for amending Article 4 directions?

*No Response*

Q20. Q17. We would like to know your views on the effects of the proposals would have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

*No Response*

Q21. 18. We have asked a number of specific consultation questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, please use the space below to raise them.

*No Response*

**Submit your response**

Q22. If you want to receive a receipt of your response, please provide an email address.

Email address

[REDACTED]